	Case 5:16-cv-04775-EJD Document 52	8 Filed 10/27/23 Page 1 of 11
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTI	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN JOS	E DIVISION
13	DONNA J. FORSYTH, ARUN VATTURI,	CASE NO. 5:16-cv-04775-EJD
14	DAN WEILAND, SHAFIQ RAHMAN, AND KEVIN ALVISO, for and on behalf of	 PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING
15 16	themselves and other persons similarly situated,	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND COLLECTIVE ACTION
10	Plaintiffs,	SETTLEMENT
18	vs.	Judge: Hon. Edward J. Davila
19	HP INC. f/k/a/ HEWLETT-PACKARD	Hearing Date: Time:
20	COMPANY and HEWLETT PACKARD ENTERPRISE COMPANY,	Courtroom:
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Case No. 5:16-cv-04775-EJD	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT

1 On September 21, 2023, Plaintiffs Arun Vatturi and Kevin Alviso ("California Class 2 Representatives"), along with Donna Forsyth (now deceased, through her representative Chris 3 Forsyth), Dan Weiland and Shafiq Rahman (together "Named Plaintiffs") brought an unopposed 4 Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and Collective Action Settlement, seeking an 5 order to: (1) preliminarily approve the proposed Collective and Class Action Settlement 6 Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") or ("Settlement"); (2) preliminarily certify the proposed 7 California State Law Settlement Classes for settlement purposes only; (3) appoint the proposed 8 California Class Representatives, Class Counsel, and Settlement Administrator; (4) approve the 9 proposed Notice and notice plan, and direct distribution of the proposed Notice; and (5) set a 10 schedule for final approval. Having considered the papers on the motion, argument of counsel, 11 and applicable law, the Court now enters this Order Granting Preliminary Approval and now 12 FINDS, CONCLUDES, and ORDERS as follows:

13

I.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

14 Plaintiffs allege that HP Inc. (previously named Hewlett-Packard Company) ("HP Inc.") 15 and Hewlett-Packard Enterprise Company ("HPE") (collectively, "Defendants"), violated the Age 16 Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 621, et seq., and California's Fair 17 Employment and Housing Act, Cal. Gov't Code § 12900, et seq. ("FEHA"), Unfair Competition 18 Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq. ("UCL"), and common law, by terminating older 19 employees and replacing them with younger employees in workforce reductions. 20 Defendants have denied and continue to deny they have engaged in any unlawful conduct 21 or violated the ADEA or any California law, or are liable or owe damages to anyone with respect

22 to the facts or causes of action alleged.

23 24

II. THE COURT PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY CERTIFIED TWO ADEA COLLECTIVES.

On April 13, 2021, this Court granted Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Certification pursuant to the standards set forth under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") and permitted notice to be sent to individuals who were putative members of two separate ADEA collectives, so that those individuals might determine whether to opt into this litigation as plaintiffs. After notice

Case 5:16-cv-04775-EJD Document 528 Filed 10/27/23 Page 3 of 11

1 was disseminated, 146 individuals opted into the lawsuit as party plaintiffs (the "ADEA

2 Plaintiffs").

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

III. PRELIMINARY CERTIFICATION OF RULE 23 SETTLEMENT CLASSES

A. The California Settlement Classes Meet the Requirements of Rule 23(a) and (b)(2).

Plaintiffs seek certification of two classes of individuals asserting claims under California

state law. For settlement purposes only, the Parties have proposed preliminary certification of the

following classes (collectively, the "California Settlement Classes"):

The HP Co./HP Inc. California Class: All individuals who had their employment terminated by HP Inc. (including when HP Inc. was named Hewlett-Packard Company) in California pursuant to a WFR Plan between August 18, 2012 and February 15, 2022, and who were 40 years or older at the time of such termination. As provided in the operative Fourth Amended Complaint [Dkt. No. 389] ("FAC"), excluded from the HP Co./HP Inc. California Class are: (a) individuals who signed a Waiver and General Release Agreement (as defined in the FAC, n.1); and (b) individuals who signed an Agreement to Arbitrate Claims (as defined in the FAC, n.2).¹ In addition, any individuals who previously signed agreements that waived and released the claims asserted in this action are excluded from the HP Co./HP Inc. California Class.

The HPE California Class: All individuals who had their employment terminated by Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company in California pursuant to a WFR Plan between November 1, 2015 and February 15, 2022, and who were 40 years or older at the time of such termination. As provided in the operative Fourth Amended Complaint [Dkt. No. 389], excluded from the HPE California Class are: (a) individuals who signed a Waiver and General Release Agreement (as defined in the FAC, n.1); and (b) individuals who signed an Agreement to Arbitrate Claims (as defined in the FAC, n.2). In addition, any individuals who previously signed agreements that waived and released the claims asserted in this action are excluded from the HPE California Class.

25

The Court hereby finds, for the purposes of settlement only, that the California Settlement

26

 ¹ The Parties have also agreed to exclude from the California Settlement Classes any individual who disclaimed in a legal proceeding any interest in participating or intent to participate in this action.

Classes satisfy all of the requirements for certification under Rule 23(a) and (b)(3). The Court
 hereby finds, for settlement purposes only, that:

3 The California Settlement Classes consist of approximately 244 individuals, 4 collectively, and are sufficiently numerous that joinder is impracticable. 5 The members of the California Settlement Classes ("California Class Members") • 6 share common issues of fact and law regarding whether their terminations were 7 implemented in a manner that discriminated against older workers, including the 8 California Class Members, and whether Defendants violated California state law. 9 The California Class Representatives' claims are typical of those of the California 10 Class Members because they arise out of the same policies, practices, and course 11 of conduct complained of by all other California Class Members. 12 The California Class Representatives are adequate representatives of the California 13 Settlement Classes they propose to represent because their interests are co-14 extensive with those of the California Class Members, and they have retained 15 experienced counsel to represent them and the California State Law Settlement 16 Classes. 17 Questions of law or fact common to the California Class Members predominate 18 over individualized issues, and a class action is superior to other available methods 19 for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Because certification of 20 the California Settlement Classes is proposed in the context of a settlement, the 21 Court need not inquire whether the case, if tried as a class action, would present 22 intractable management problems. 23 Accordingly, for settlement purposes only, the Court hereby certifies the California 24 Settlement Classes under Rule 23(a) and (b)(3). 25 IV. Appointment of California Class Representatives and Class Counsel. 26 For purposes of certifying the California State Law Settlement Classes, for settlement purposes only, the Court hereby appoints Arun Vatturi and Kevin Alviso to represent the HP 27 28 Co./HP Inc. California Class and the HPE California Class, respectively. [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION -3-Case No. 5:16-cv-04775-EJD SETTLEMENT The Court also finds that Jennie Lee Anderson of Andrus Anderson LLP and Doug Dehler
 of O'Neil, Cannon, Hollman, DeJong & Laing S.C. have substantial experience in prosecuting
 class actions and employment discrimination class actions. The Court appoints them as Class
 Counsel.

5 6

V. PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT

7 The Court has reviewed the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement, attached as 8 Exhibit 1, including the plan of allocation and release of claims. The Court also reviewed the 9 motion papers and declarations of counsel, which describe Class Counsel's legal and factual 10 investigation, and the settlement process. Based on review of those papers, the Court concludes 11 that the Settlement is the result of extensive, arm's length negotiations among the parties after 12 Class Counsel investigated the claims and became familiar with the strengths and weaknesses of 13 the case. The Court finds that the settlement process was non-collusive. Based on the Court's 14 review of papers submitted in support of preliminary approval, the Court concludes that the 15 proposed Settlement Agreement provides significant relief to the ADEA Plaintiffs and the 16 members of the California Settlement Classes, and that the release of their respective claims is 17 appropriately tailored to the allegations in the Fourth Amended Complaint. The Court concludes 18 that the proposed Settlement complies with the Northern District of California's Procedural 19 Guidance for Class Action Settlements, has no obvious defects, and is likely to be approved 20 pursuant to Rule 23(e)(2) at the final approval stage, such that giving notice to members of the 21 California Settlement Classes is justified. Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(1)(B).

22

VI. APPROVAL OF THE NOTICE PLAN AND DISTRIBUTION OF NOTICE

The Parties have submitted for this Court's approval a proposed Notice of Class and
Collective Action Settlement ("Notice") to be disseminated to the members of the California
Settlement Classes. The Court has carefully reviewed that Notice, the related provisions in the
proposed Settlement Agreement, and the Memorandum in Support of Plaintiffs' Unopposed
Motion for Preliminary Approval, and finds and concludes as follows:

28

Best Notice Practicable

A.

Case 5:16-cv-04775-EJD Document 528 Filed 10/27/23 Page 6 of 11

1 The Court concludes that the Notice and the plan to distribute the Notice constitute the 2 best notice practicable under the circumstances and allow the members of the California 3 Settlement Classes a full and fair opportunity to consider the proposed Settlement and evaluate 4 their options.

5 The Notice is written in plain English and provides clear examples to assist California 6 Class Members in evaluating their options and the consequences of their choices. The Notice 7 complies with this Northern District of California's Procedural Guidance for Class Action 8 Settlements in that it fairly, plainly, accurately, and reasonably informs the California Class 9 Members about: (1) the nature of this action, including the definition of the California State Law 10 Settlement Classes, the identity of Class Counsel, the key terms of the Settlement, and the address 11 for a website maintained by the Settlement Administrator, which has links to the Notice and other 12 important documents in the case; (2) Plaintiffs' forthcoming application for the Named Plaintiffs' 13 individual Service Awards, and Class Counsel's application for their attorneys' fees, costs, and 14 expenses; (3) how each ADEA Plaintiff's and each California Class Member's settlement share 15 will be calculated; (4) this Court's procedures for final approval of the Settlement, and about the 16 California Class Members' right to appear if they desire; (5) how to object to or opt out of the 17 Settlement if they desire; (6) how to obtain additional information regarding this action and the 18 Settlement, including instructions on how to access the case via PACER or in person at any of the 19 Court's locations; and (7) the date of the Fairness Hearing, advising them further that the date 20 may change without further notice and that they may check the Settlement Administrator website 21 or the Court's PACER site to confirm that the date has not been changed.

22 The proposed plan for distributing the Notice likewise is a reasonable method calculated 23 to reach California Class Members who would be bound by the Settlement. Under this plan, the 24 Settlement Administrator will verify California Class Member addresses, distribute the Notice to 25 all California Class Members by First-Class U.S. Mail, and conduct additional investigation if 26 Notices are returned as undeliverable.

27

28

CAFA Notice

Pursuant to the proposed Settlement, within ten (10) calendar days after Class Counsel [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION

B.

Case 5:16-cv-04775-EJD Document 528 Filed 10/27/23 Page 7 of 11

1	filed a motion	on for pre	liminary approval of this Agreement, Defendants provided notice of the
2	proposed Se	ettlement	to state and federal officials as required by the Class Action Fairness Act, 28
3	U.S.C. § 17	15 ("CAF	A"), regarding this action and the proposed Settlement.
4	C.	Appro	oval of Notice and Notice Plan
5	Acco	ordingly,	the Court hereby FINDS, CONCLUDES, and ORDERS as follows:
6		1.	The form and content of the proposed Notice is approved;
7		2.	The form and content of the Opt-Out Letter, as defined in Section VII.C.
8			below, is approved;
9		3.	The manner of distributing the Notice and updating California Class
10			Member addresses through the National Change of Address database and
11			skip-tracing any returned notices, is approved;
12		4.	CPT Group is approved as the Settlement Administrator authorized to
13			disseminate the Notice;
14		5.	No later than five (5) business days after the date of this Order, Defendants
15			shall provide to the Settlement Administrator a list of all California Class
16			Members, including full name (maiden and married names, where
17			applicable), last known home address, last known personal email address
18			(if known), and last known personal telephone numbers(s), in Excel
19			format; and
20		6.	Fifteen (15) business days after Defendants provide the list of all California
21			Class Members, the Settlement Administrator shall mail Notice to the
22			California Class Members, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
23	VII. PRO	CEDUR	ES FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENT
24	А.	Motio	n for Final Approval and Fairness Hearing
25	В.	The Co	ourt hereby schedules a hearing ("the Fairness Hearing") for March 28, 2024,
26	which is no e	arlier tha	n one-hundred (100) calendar days from the date after the motion for
27	preliminary a	approval v	was filed, at 9:00 a.m. to determine whether to grant final certification of
28	the California	a Settlem	ent Classes for settlement purposes only, to consider any objections that
	may Case No. 5:	16-cv-047	75-EJD -6- [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY SETTLEMENT

Case 5:16-cv-04775-EJD Document 528 Filed 10/27/23 Page 8 of 11

1 be filed, to determine whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate, to determine the 2 amount of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses to be paid to Class Counsel, and to determine 3 whether the requested Service Awards for the Named Plaintiffs are proper. Class Counsel shall 4 file a Motion for Final Approval of the Class and Collective Action Settlement Agreement no 5 later than fourteen (14) days prior to the Fairness Hearing. Named Plaintiffs and/or Defendants 6 may file with the Court written responses to any objection at least seven (7) calendar days before 7 the Fairness Hearing.

8

9

11

The Court reserves the right to continue the date of the Fairness Hearing without further notice to members of the California Settlement Classes.

10 **B**. Deadline for Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Expenses and Service Awards

12 All memoranda, affidavits, declarations, and other evidence in support of the request for 13 Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses and the Named Plaintiffs' request for Service 14 Awards shall be filed at least thirty-five (35) days in advance of the deadline to opt out or object 15 to the Settlement. Class Counsel may file a supplemental memorandum in support of the motion 16 no later than seven (7) calendar days before the Fairness Hearing.

17

С. Deadline for California Class Members to Opt Out of the Settlement

18 Any California Class Member who elects to opt out of the proposed Settlement must 19 submit a signed letter setting forth their name and information needed to be properly identified to 20 opt out of the settlement and stating that the individual is requesting to be excluded from the 21 Settlement to the Settlement Administrator ("Opt-Out Letter"). Opt-Out Letters must be 22 postmarked no later than 60 days from the date the Notice is mailed ("Opt-Out Period"). The 23 postmark date of the mailing envelope shall be the exclusive means used to determine whether an 24 Opt-Out Letter has been timely submitted.

25 The Settlement Administrator shall, within twenty-four (24) hours after the end of the 26 Opt-Out Period, send a final list of all Opt-Out Letters to Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel 27 by email. The Settlement Administrator shall retain the stamped originals of all Opt-Outs and 28 originals of all envelopes accompanying Opt-Out Letters in its files until such time as the [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY -7-APPROVAL OF CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION Case No. 5:16-cv-04775-EJD SETTLEMENT

1	Settlement Administrator is relieved of its duties and responsibilities under this Agreement.
2	Any California Class Member who validly and timely submits an Opt-Out Letter shall not:
3	(i) have any rights under the Settlement Agreement; (ii) be entitled to receive a settlement
4	payment; (iii) have a right to object to the Settlement Agreement; and (iv) be bound by the
5	Settlement Agreement, any order granting final approval, or any final judgment.
6	Any California Class Member who does not properly and timely opt-out shall be bound by
7	all the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement, the Court's Order Granting Preliminary
8	Approval, any final judgment, and the releases set forth therein.
9	Any California Class Member who is also an ADEA Plaintiff and opts-out shall be
10	deemed to have withdrawn their consent to join one of the ADEA Collectives and shall no longer
11	be an ADEA Plaintiff for any purpose, including for settlement purposes.
12	D. Defendants' Right to Rescind Agreement
13	Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, if five (5) percent or more of the California Class
14	Members, collectively, opt-out of the settlement, Defendants shall have the right to revoke any
15	proposed settlement, preliminarily approved settlement, or any written and/or executed settlement
16	agreement within fourteen (14) days of being finally notified by the Settlement Administrator in
17	writing that the number of opt-outs exceeds the 5% threshold.
18	Further, if more than six (6) of the thirty-two (32) individuals who are both ADEA
19	Plaintiffs and California Class Members exercise their right to opt-out and be excluded from the
20	California Settlement Classes, the Defendants shall have the right to revoke any proposed
21	settlement, preliminarily approved settlement, or any written and/or executed term sheet or
22	settlement agreement within fourteen (14) days of being finally notified by Settlement
23	Administrator in writing that the number of opt-outs from the group of thirty-two (32) ADEA
24	Plaintiffs and California Class Members who will not participate exceeds six (6) in number.
25	E. Deadline to Object to the Proposed Settlement
26	California Class Members who wish to present objections to the proposed Settlement must
27	submit a written objection, along with any supporting papers that (a) clearly identify the case
28	name and number (<i>Forsyth, et al. v. HP, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 5:16 cv 004775-EJD), (b) are [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY

Case 5:16-cv-04775-EJD Document 528 Filed 10/27/23 Page 10 of 11

1 submitted to the Court by (i) by filing them electronically or in person at any location of the 2 United States District Court for the Northern District of California, or (ii) by mailing them to the 3 Court Clerk, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose 4 Division, 280 South 1st Street, San Jose, CA 95113. Any objection must be postmarked or filed 5 no later than sixty (60) days after Notice is mailed. The postmark or filing date of the objection 6 shall be the exclusive means for determining that an objection is timely. Any individual who has 7 requested exclusion by submitting a valid Opt-Out Letter may not submit objections to the 8 Settlement. Named Plaintiffs and/or Defendants may file with the Court written responses to any 9 objections at least seven (7) days before the Fairness Hearing. 10 Any California Class Member who properly and timely files an objection may, but is not 11 required to, appear at the Fairness Hearing in person, or by their own attorney. Any California 12 Class Member who retains an attorney representing them shall be solely responsible for the costs 13 of doing so, and their counsel should file a Notice of Appearance with the Court and serve the 14 same on Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel no later than five (5) business days prior to the 15 Fairness Hearing. 16 F. **Deadline for Submitting Motion Seeking Final Approval** 17 No later than thirty-five (35) days before the Fairness Hearing, Plaintiffs will file a 18 motion for final approval of the Settlement. 19 VIII. APPOINTMENT OF SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR 20 CPT Group is hereby appointed Settlement Administrator to carry out the duties set forth 21 in this Order Granting Preliminary Approval and the Settlement Agreement, and CPT Group has 22 agreed to subject itself to the jurisdiction of this Court. 23 IX. **SCHEDULING ORDER** 24 The following schedule sets the sequence for the relevant dates and deadlines based on the 25 preliminary approval of the proposed Settlement on October 27 , 2023. 26 27 28 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY

Case 5:16-cv-04775-EJD Document 528 Filed 10/27/23 Page 11 of 11

Event	Date
Deadline for Defendants to provide data	
regarding California Class Members to	November 3, 2023
Settlement Administrator	
Deadline for Settlement Administrator to mail	November 20, 2022
Notice	November 20, 2023
Deadline to file motion seeking Attorneys'	
Fees, Costs, and Expenses and Service	December 15, 2023
Awards	
Deadline for California Class Members to	January 22, 2024
submit request for exclusion or object	Januar y 22, 2024
Deadline for Settlement Administrator to	January 23, 2024
submit final report to Parties	Januar y 23, 2024
Deadline to file motion seeking final approval	E-L 22, 2024
of Settlement	February 22, 2024
Deadline for Defendants to elect to rescind	
Settlement	February 6, 2024
Deadline to file replies in support of Final	
Approval, Service Awards and Attorneys'	March 14, 2024
Fees, Costs, and Expenses motions	
Fairness Hearing	March 28, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.
	= 0.0.1
DATED: October 27, 2023 The	Honorable Edward J. Davila
DATED: October 27, 2023 The	Honorable Edward J. Davila es States District Court Judge
DATED: October 27, 2023 The	